WHOSE FIGHT IS THIS?

The effort by California agriculture to secure a reasonable farm labor law frequently is considered strictly a California problem.

But that's not true.

Already some other states are looking upon California's controversial law, with its proven weaknesses, as a model for their own legislation.

Other people have looked upon the California law as a means of ridding the food industry of the boycott.

The fact of the matter is that the law makes specific provisions to provide for the continuation of the boycott in front of supermarkets throughout the United States by the same union which has made this activity a household word. There is nothing in this law which forbids strikes, violence, or the type of boycotting which has plagued the food industry for the past ten years.

There are some who would condemn California growers for their efforts to require amendments to the law as a condition for granting additional funds to the board, whose bias has been criticized by so many.

Agriculture readily agreed to accept the same sort of law that governs all other industries. The amendments proposed by California agriculture do precisely that. They are an attempt to bring the state law up closer to the standards of the National Labor Relations Act. To those who fear the NLRA for agriculture, Californians say, "You should see our state law."

California agriculture would prefer to see a law in force and operating, but faced with the choice between an inoperative law and an improper law, growers chose to hold up the one which had worked so badly.

The immediate future holds both a challenge and a chance. The entire problem is a political one, and it will be solved by political means.

What happens in California in this political year will not be confined to that state alone.

It will set the pattern for your state and many others.

Help us make sure that the pattern is one with which you can live and prosper.

Fill out the coupon below, and send it with your check to the Western Growers Political Action Committee, P.O. Box 2130, Newport Beach, California 92663.

Make your check for $100, or as much as you can.

Help California Agriculture Now - you may be protecting your own tomorrow.

Western Growers Political Action Committee
P.O. Box 2130, Newport Beach, CA 92663

Paid for by Western Growers Political Action Committee, P.O. Box 2130, Newport Beach, CA 92663

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Street or P.O. Box</th>
<th>City</th>
<th>State</th>
<th>Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Telephone Number</th>
<th>Occupation or Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Employer, or name of firm if self-employed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amount of check</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In negotiations, Growers who bargained in good faith and asked for workers who were striking, agreed to seek out and purchase UFW-label grades and heed lettuce to attain those grades. Will it be sought out and purchase UFW-label grades and heed lettuce to attain those grades? What are the negotiations of agreements between the entities of the parties involved? Have there been able to agree on the strokes of the parties involved? Do any groups choose to add a boycott statement should "take notice of situations"

**2. 1976.** Worker initiative which will be voted on by all the people of California, November. Encourages growers to support the farm, encouraging growers to support the farm. Groups outside of California, may want to say something like: "What the group and the press in our region."

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution be sent to the political candidates.**

Prayers to the campaign for a "yes" vote on the farm worker initiative, prayers to the campaign for a "yes" vote on the farm worker initiative.

*FARMERS HAVE VOTED FOR THE UNION OF THEIR CHOICE AND TO SEEK BETTER WAGES AND WORK-conditions through collective bargaining with their employers, and garete, protect the union of their choice and to seek better wages and work-
determination for the poor. Has supported the right of farm workers to con-"growers, because of its Judeo-Christian commitment to justice and self-

SUGGESTED RESOLUTION ON THE FARM WORKER INITIATIVE